	Case 2:23-cv-02494-EFB Document	3 Filed 10/30/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO A VALENZUELA,	1:23-cv-01525 HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
13	v.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
14	THORNTONA, et al.,	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANI CLOSING CASE IN FRESNO DIVISION
15	Defendant.	
16 17		(Doc. No. 1)
18		
19	Plaintiff, a prisoner, initiated this <i>pro se</i> action by filing a civil rights complaint under 42	
20	U.S.C. § 1983 accompanied with an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> . (Doc. Nos. 1, 2).	
21	Because the events giving rise to the cause of action occurred at California State Prison,	
22	Sacramento, which is located in Sacramento County, Plaintiff should have filed his complaint in	
23	the Sacramento Division of this Court. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); see also Ziegler v. Indian River	
24	County, 64 F.3d 470, 474 (9th Cir. 1995) (reviewing federal court jurisdiction and venue in a §	
25	1983 action). Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the	
26	proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the correct court. The Court finds	
27	it in the interests of justice to transfer this case to the Sacramento Division under 28 U.S.C. §	
28	1406(a) and Local Rule 120(f).	

1

////

Case 2:23-cv-02494-EFB Document 3 Filed 10/30/23 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 1. The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the Sacramento Division of this Court and close the case in this division. 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 3. This court has not ruled on Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2). Dated: October 30, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE